

## ELP Mentor Selection Process

Criteria	Excellent (3 points)	Good (2 points)	Fair (1 point)	Poor (0 points)
<b>Q1: Applicant has served as a NACADA leader in the following areas:</b> 1. Event Chair / Co-Chair / Faculty; 2. Chair CIG / Region; 3. Chair Committee / AB / Task Force; 4. Conference/Webinar panelist/presenter; 5. Publication in AAT/Journal/Clearinghouse; 6. NACADA Consultant	Applicant has leadership experience in three or more areas	Applicant has leadership experience in two areas	Applicant has leadership experience in one area	Applicant has no leadership experience in the listed areas
<b>Q2: Applicant articulates the significance of service as a NACADA leader</b>	Applicant clearly and enthusiastically expresses the value that serving in a leadership role held for them, indicating ability to inspire a mentee	Applicant clearly expresses the value that serving in a leadership role held for them, demonstrating ability to convey this to a mentee	Applicant shares that a leadership role held value for them	The value the leadership role held for the applicant is unclear
<b>Q3: Applicant articulates why s/he desires to serve as an ELP mentor.</b>	Applicant clearly and enthusiastically articulates why they desire to mentor, particularly related to ELP	Applicant clearly and enthusiastically articulates why they desire to mentor	Applicant shares why they desire to mentor	Applicant's reason for wanting to mentor is unclear
<b>Q4. Applicant:</b> 1. articulates value of relationship for mentor 2. articulates value of relationship for mentee 3. provides example(s) from past experiences	Applicant clearly articulates value for both mentor and mentee, and provides inspirational example(s) from past experience	Applicant explains value for mentor and mentee, and provides some information about past experiences	Applicant explains value for mentor and mentee, but has no past mentoring experience	Value of relationship to mentor and/or mentee is unclear
<b>5. Letter of Recommendation from NACADA member attesting to leadership service and readiness for mentoring</b>	Letter writer enthusiastically affirms applicant's leadership ability and strongly supports readiness to mentor	Letter writer affirms applicant's leadership ability and supports readiness to mentor	Letter writer confirms applicants leadership service and/or support's readiness to mentor	Letter is missing, does not confirm leadership service, or does not indicate support
<b>Reviewer's overall response to application</b>	Reviewer strongly supports this applicant	Reviewer supports this applicant	Reviewer has some reservations	Reviewer does not support applicant